



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/853,617		05/14/2001	Jerome B. Zeldis	9516-022	7262	
20582	7590	07/26/2005		EXAMINER		
JONES DAY				LEWIS, PATRICK T		
51 Louisiana Aveue, N.W WASHINGTON, DC 20001-2113				ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				1623	1623	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/853,617	ZELDIS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patrick T. Lewis	1623		

	Patrick T. Lewis	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 2. M. The reserved arrest described of the conficulties the second arrest described in the conficulties of the conficulties and the conficulties are second arrest described in the conficulties are second arres	had asian to the date of filling a brief		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	, -
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allows	uce hecause.
See Continuation Sheet.			noc because.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
	//	Patrick Lewis, PhD	en .
	0	Examiner Art Unit 1623	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant contends that when thalidomide is co-administered with irinotecan to patients with metastic colorectal cancer, a remarkable absence of gastrointestinal toxicity typically associated with irinotecan is observed. Applicant further argues that thalidomide was not an approved anticancer agent at the time of the invention, and as such, the cited prior art does not provide the necessary motivation to combine thalidomide with a topoisomerase inhibitor. In response to applicant's argument that the co-administration of thalidomide and irinotecan to patients with colorectal cancer unexpectedly showed an absence of gastrointestinal toxicity typically associated with irinotecan, it is noted that applicant's showing is not commensurative with the scope of the claims. Contrary to applicant's assertion Marx does indeed constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).